Exhibit C

In The Matter Of:

City of Detroit

Kenneth Buckfire August 29, 2013



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw

Original File BUCKFIRE_KENNETH.txt
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

```
Page 3
                                                     Page 1
                                                             1 JENNIFER GREEN
                   UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
1
                                                             2 FRANK GUADAGNINO
                FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
2
                                                             3 Clark Hill, P.L.C.
                         SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
                                                             4 500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500
 4
                                                             5 Detroit, Michigan 48226-3435
 5
   In Re:
                                                               313.965.8300
                                                                     Appearing on behalf of Police and Fire Retirement
 7
    CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN Chapter 9
                                                                System and Police and Fire General Retirement System.
                               Case No.13-53846
 8
                                    Hon. Steven Rhodes
                                                             9
 9
                  Debtor.
                                                            10 KELLY DIBLASI
10
                                                            11 Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP
11
                                                            12 767 Fifth Avenue
12
                                                            13 New York, New York 10153
         The Video Deposition of KENNETH BUCKFIRE,
13
                                                                212.310.8032
                                                            14
14
         Taken at 1114 Washington Boulevard,
                                                                     Appearing on behalf of Financial Guaranty Insurance
                                                            15
15
         Detroit, Michigan,
                                                             16
                                                                Company.
16
         Commencing at 9:31 a.m.,
         Thursday, August 29, 2013,
                                                            17
17
                                                                ERNEST J. ESSAD, JR.
                                                             18
         Before Nora Morrissy, RMR, CRR, CSR-2642.
18
                                                                Williams, Williams, Rattner & Plunkett, P.C.
                                                             19
19
                                                             20 380 North Old Woodward, Suite 300
20
                                                             21 Birmingham, Michigan 48009
21
                                                             22 248.642.0333
2.2
                                                                     Appearing on behalf of Financial Guaranty Insurance
                                                             23
23
                                                            24 Company.
24
                                                             25
25
                                                                                                                  Page 4
                                                     Page 2
                                                              1 KAREN NEWBURY
 1 APPEARANCES:
                                                              2 Schiff Hardin, LLP
 2
                                                              3 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 6600
 3 THOMAS CULLEN, JR.
                                                              4
                                                                Chicago, Illinois 60606
 4 BENJAMIN ROSENBLUM
                                                              5
                                                                 312,258,5522
 5 Jones Day
                                                                      Appearing on behalf of Depfa Bank, PLC, as agent for
                                                              6
   51 Louisiana Avenue N.W.
 6
                                                              7
                                                                 DFS WertManagement.
   Washington, D.C. 20001
                                                              8
    202.879.3939
 8
                                                                 CAROLINE TURNER ENGLISH
                                                              9
         Appearing on behalf of the City of Detroit.
 9
                                                                Arent Fox, LLP
                                                             10
10
                                                             11 1717 K Street, NW
11 MATTHEW G. SUMMERS
                                                             12 Washington, D.C. 20036
12 Ballard Spahr, LLP
                                                                202.857.6000
                                                             13
13 919 North Market Street, 11th floor
                                                                      Appearing on behalf of Ambac.
                                                             14
14 Wilmington, Delaware 19801
                                                             15
15
   302.252.4465
                                                             16 BIANCA FORDE
16
          Appearing on behalf of EEPK.
                                                             17 Winston & Strawn, LLP
17
                                                             18
                                                                 200 Park Avenue
18 STEPHEN HACKNEY
                                                                New York, New York 10166
19 LALLY GARTEL
                                                             20
                                                                 212.294.4733
20 Kirkland & Ellis, LLP
                                                                      Appearing on behalf of Assured Municipal Guaranty
                                                             21
21 300 North LaSalle
                                                             22
                                                                Corp.
22 Chicago, Illinois 60654
                                                             23
23 312.862.2157
                                                             24
          Appearing on behalf of Syncora.
24
                                                             25
25
```

City of Detroit	August 29, 2013
Page 5	Page 7
1 JASON JURGENS	1 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1 8
2 Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft, LLP	2 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 2 21
3 One World Financial Center	3 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 3 43
4 New York, New York 10281	4 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 4 80
5 212.504.6102	5 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 5 170
6 Appearing on behalf of Merrill Lynch Capital Services.	6
7	7
8	8
9 GUY S. NEAL	9
10 Sidley Austin, LLP	10
11 1501 K. Street, N.W.	11
12 Washington, D.C. 20005	12
13 202.736.8041	13
14 Appearing on behalf of National Public Finance	14
15 Guarantee Corp.	15
16	16
17 STEVEN WILAMOWSKY	17
18 Bingham McCutchen, LLP	18
19 399 Park Avenue	19
20 New York, New York 10022	20
21 212.705.7960	21
22 Appearing on behalf of UBS.	22
23	23
24 ALSO PRESENT:	24
25 Bailey Wellman, Video Technician	25
Dago 6	Page 8
Page 6	
1 TABLE OF CONTENTS	1 Detroit, Michigan
2	2 Thursday, August 29, 2013
3 Witness Page	3 9:31 a.m.
4 KENNETH BUCKFIRE	4
5	5 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:
6 EXAMINATION	6 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1
7 BY MR. SUMMERS: 8	7 9:21 a.m.
8 EXAMINATION	8 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are now on the
9 BY MR. HACKNEY: 108	9 record. This is the videotaped deposition of Kenneth
10 EXAMINATION	Buckfire being taken on Thursday, August 29th, 2013. The time is now 9:31 a.m. We are located at 1114
11 BY MS. DIBLASI: 165	
12 EXAMINATION	12 Washington Boulevard, Detroit, Michigan.
13 BY MS. ENGLISH: 171	We are here in the matter of In Re: City of Detroit, Michigan case number 13-53846 in the United
14 EXAMINATION	14 Detroit, Michigan case number 13-53846 in the Office 15 States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Michigan.
15 BY MS. FORDE: 189	The state of the s
16 EXAMINATION	the state of the s
17 BY MS. GREEN: 202	17 technician. Will the court reporter please swear in 18 the witness.
18 EXAMINATION	19 KENNETH BUCKFIRE,
19 BY MS. NEWBURY: 204	20 was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after
20	21 having first been duly sworn to testify to the truth,
21 EXHIBITS	22 the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was
22 Dage Page	23 examined and testified as follows:
23 Exhibit Page	24 MR. SUMMERS: Good morning.
24 (Exhibits retained by counsel.)	25 EXAMINATION
25	

1

Page 9

BY MR. SUMMERS:

- 2 Q. Mr. Buckfire, would you please state your name and
- business address for the record?
- 4 A. Kenneth Buckfire. 601 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York. 5
- 6 Q. For the record my name is Matthew Summers. I'm an
- attorney at Ballard Spahr in Wilmington, Delaware and 7
- we represent the entity that's caused people a little 8
- trouble with the name but we've been referring to it 9 as EEPK. 10
- Mr. Buckfire, you understand the way a 11
- deposition process works, correct? 12

13 A. I believe so.

- 14 Q. And you've been deposed on numerous occasions
- previously, correct? 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Because of that experience I just provide a few basic 17
- ground rules that I will ask you to abide by today.
- First if the question that I ask is not clear, please 19
- let me know and I will attempt to rephrase it and if I 20
- ask a question and you don't understand it but answer 21
- it anyway. I would ask you not to do that but to ask
- me to clarify and if you give me an answer, I will 23
- assume you understood the question. 24
- Second, because we are on the record and 25

City. Prepared to testify to the general condition of

- the City's financials leading up to the execution of 2
- the forbearance agreement. 3
- Q. Are there any other topics that you intend to testify 4
- at the hearing concerning the forbearance agreement? 5
- 6 A. I'll testify at that point to the status of the DIP
- form process that will provide the financing to 7
- execute the City's option under the forbearance 8
- agreement to retire the Swaps. 9
- 10 Q. Are there any other topics that you have not mentioned
- in your answers that you intend to testify about? 11
- 12 A. I'm sure there will be other things but I can't recall at this time what they might be.
- 14 Q. Mr. Buckfire, what is your position with Miller
- Buckfire? 15
- 16 A. Co-founder and co-president of Miller
- Buckfire & Company. 17
- Miller Buckfire currently is employed as the financial
- advisor to the City of Detroit, correct?
- 20 A. As the investment banker to the City, that's correct.
- 21 Q. And when was Miller Buckfire first engaged by the City
- as investment banker?
- 23 A. We were first engaged in July of 2012 for a 60-day
- review of the City's financial condition. We were 24
- re-engaged on January 8th of this year to continue to 25

Page 10

- sometimes you will anticipate probably where I'm going 1
- with the question or think that you anticipate, I 2
- would ask that you to make the transcript clearer, I 3
- will ask that you wait until I complete the question 4
- before you begin your answer.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- Q. Before you is what's been premarked as Deposition 7
- Exhibit 1, and I assume you have seen this document
- before, is that correct? 9
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. No. Okay. And it is the notice of deposition that
- was issued that we are proceeding under today. I'd 12
- like to discuss initially with you the topics about 13
- which you plan to testify at the hearing on the motion 14
- to assume the forbearance and optional termination 15
- agreement and prove the settlement therein. 16
- Do you have in mind the topics that you 17 intend to testify at the hearing? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And can you provide those to me?
- 21 A. The reason and purpose of the negotiation with the
- Swap counterparties and the results thereof as 22
- determined in the forbearance agreement itself, the 23
- financial condition of the City that led us to believe 24
- that this agreement was necessary to rehabilitate the

- advise the City on its financial condition and 1
- financial alternatives. Both were -- were hired 2
- pursuant to an RFP process to which we submitted a 3
- proposal. 4
- Q. When you were hired in July 2012, can you describe the
- scope of services that Miller Buckfire was engaged to 6
- provide? 7

20

- A. As I mentioned earlier, we were engaged to do a 8
- general financial review of the City's financial 9
- condition particularly with respect to its ability to 10
- service its debt obligations. 11
- 12 Q. Were there specific tasks that you were asked to
- perform in connection with doing a general financial 13
- review of the debt obligations? 14

testified to, is that correct?

- 15 A. No, we were engaged to do a general financial review
- and advise the mayor and the chief financial officer 16
- as to what those financial conditions implied for the 17
- City's ability to operate in the ordinary course. 18
- Q. That engagement began in July 2012 is what you 19
- 21 A. Correct, and ended on August 31st.
- Q. Very good. I would point out that I would ask you to 22
- wait until I ask the question, though. 23
- Miller Buckfire was then re-engaged on 24
- January 8th of 2013, is that correct? 25

Page 12

Page 49

- 1 Q. So, for example, the City does not actually project
- 2 paying pension -- making pension contributions for
- 3 fiscal year 2014, isn't that true?
- 4 MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form.
- 5 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 6 Q. You may answer.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And the City does not currently plan to pay the health
- 9 benefits for retirees in fiscal year 2014, correct?
- MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form.
- 11 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 12 Q. You may answer.
- 13 A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- 14 Q. The City does not currently intend to pay the line
- item for health benefits for retirees in fiscal year
- 16 2014?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And the City does I guess intend to continue paying
- 19 the monthly payment to the Swaps, is that correct,
- which is represented by the 50.6 million?
- 21 A. Yes, but again you have to look at the caption of this
- page. This is not the City's plan.
- 23 Q. This is the City's projection, however?
- 24 A. This is the City's projection in the absence of the
- 25 reinvestment plan that the City manager has already

- no longer going to be paying the Swaps' monthly
- 2 payment, correct?
- 3 A. Yes, but this is not the City's plan.
- 4 Q. If the City did not have access to casino revenues
- 5 from now until December 2013, does the City believe
- 6 there will be any point where it would run out of
- 7 cash?
- 8 A. You have to look at Page 8. It answers that question.
- 9 On Page 8 which is clearly presented to the creditors
- on June the 8th -- June 14th rather, and also on Page
- 9 which forecasts out to June of '14 we clearly show
- on a monthly basis what we believe the City's cash
- position to be in the absence of any transaction and
- 14 you can see that business as usual results in the City
- 15 having 14 million of cash by the end of June of '13 in
- the absence of any intermediary action and that that
- number would not incorporate any cash being spent on
- the reinvestment program because it hasn't started yet. This indicates quite clearly the dire position
- yet. This indicates quite clearly the dire position the City would be in if we lost access to the 170
- million of gaming revenue because that would
- 22 immediately translate into a net cash loss of 160
- 23 million on this page alone.
- 24 Q. This cash flow page on Page 8 indicates or assumes the
- City will be making certain legacy payments that it is

Page 50

- said he is going to put in place and is putting in
- 2 place.

1

- 3 So, this is interesting but not relevant to
- 4 this discussion because it does not include as the
- 5 caption indicates clearly at the top the increased in
- 6 expenditures necessary to restore services to adequate
- 7 levels for the residents.
- 8 Q. But if you look at this -- and the revenues for fiscal
- year 2014 include 170 million in wage earning
- 10 revenues, is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So, if the City is operating, understanding your
- 13 qualification, but if the City is under this
- projection showing a 397.2 million dollar surplus, if
- it did not have access to the casino revenues during
- 16 fiscal year 2014, there still would be a surplus, is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A. But it's not the City's plan. This is academic.
- 19 Q. Whether it's academic or not, that's what this
- 20 indicates, is that correct?
- MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form.
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. CULLEN: And asked and answered.
- 24 BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 25 Q. And in fact if the City pays the termination fee, it's

- in fact not currently making, is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
- 3 Q. For example, it assumes that the City will make
- 4 pension contributions, correct?
- 5 A. No, I think we are assuming here we continue to defer
- 6 those pension contributions and that's why if you look
- 7 at the bottom and you see accumulated deferrals, you
- 8 see the number grows every month, that's the pension
- 9 contribution we weren't making.
- 10 Q. If you look at -- this assumes that the City will
- 11 continue to payments on general obligation bonds?
- 12 A. That's right. This is the status quo. In the absence
- of any restructuring or preservation of cash plan or reinvestment of the City, this will be the financial
- condition of the City in the absence of any action.
- 16 Q. Right. But as a result in part of filing the Chapter
- 9 bankruptcy proceeding, there are significant legacy
- 18 obligations that are not being paid?
- 19 A. This clearly shows if you look at the far right column
- June of '13 that trying to operate a City of this
- 21 scale with 14 million of cash bearing in mind that
- that could be zero or negative on any given day
- 23 depending on collections made it almost impossible to
- 24 prudently operate the City and that was the proximate
- 25 reason why it became necessary to defer the payment on

Page 52

Page 72

Page 69

- 1 A. I would recommend it.
- BY MR. SUMMERS: 2
- 3 Q. Okay.

6

- MR. SUMMERS: Let's mark that for follow-up 4
- after the deposition. 5
 - BY MR. SUMMERS:
- Q. You testified that as of the last analysis your 7
- understanding is the estimated amount of the
- termination payment that would be due is roughly three 9
- hundred million dollars, is that correct? 10
- 11 A. Well, it clearly moves around as the interest rate
- curve moves around. I think the most recent number is 12
- somewhere reaching 275 and 300 million dollars.
- That's before the application of the applicable 14
- discount that we had provided for in the termination 15
- 16 agreement.
- Q. And that last analysis, when was that performed? 17
- A. A few days ago.
- Q. How does the City plan to get the cash necessary to 19
- make the termination payment? 20
- MR. CULLEN: Objection. Foundation. Form. 21
- BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 23 Q. Does the City have a plan at this point for how it
- will obtain the cash necessary to pay the termination 24
- payment? 25

1

- nondisclosure agreements?
 - 2 A. That's the universe I'm discussing, approximately 30
 - or more.
 - 4 Q. So, everybody you've talked to signed?
 - 5 A. No, some people didn't want to participate. I can't
 - tell you how many we called. I can tell you how many
 - we sent NDAs to which have been returned to us, it's 7
 - in excess of 30. 8
 - Q. Are some of the people or some of the potential 9
 - sources of financing that Miller Buckfire have spoken
 - to said no, we're not interested? 11
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. And approximately how many have said no?
 - 14 A. Hasn't been that many, maybe ten. Would your client
 - like one?
 - 16 Q. And do you know who those ten entities are that have
 - said they are not interested? 17
 - 18 A. Ido, yes.
 - 19 Q. And who are they?
 - 20 A. I'm not going to tell you that.
 - 21 Q. On what basis?
 - 22 A. It's commercially sensitive information.
 - MR. CULLEN: Counsel, maybe it will help,
 - and I don't know whether you want this on the record 24
 - or not, but the position we are going to take with

Page 70

23

- respect to this is that this is a competitive process MR. CULLEN: Objection, foundation, form, 1
 - and the best result in that process is achieved by us 2
 - being able to negotiate with the individual parties 3
 - who are out there, and not to litigate the negotiating 4
 - strategy before we have something to bring back to the 5
 - 6 court to approve.
 - So, we're not going to answer questions 7
 - about individual parties, we're not going to answer 8
 - 9 guestions about the strategy of negotiating with those
 - parties and we're not at liberty to give out the 10
 - information with respect to the people who responded 11
 - to the NDAs because they understandably don't want to 12
 - be shopped, don't want to take up a lot of your time. 13
 - We can fight this through a lot of objections and so 14
 - 15
 - forth, and if we want to fight about that at some
 - later time, perfectly fine. 16
 - You can ask about his general strategy on 17 this, you can ask about the basis for his confidence 18
 - or nonconfidence in it. You can go through those 19
 - general items, but the actual strategy, the terms of 20
 - arrangements with individual parties I'm not going to
 - 21 have him go into now. Hopefully by the time we get to
 - 22
 - the hearing, we'll have an agreement that you will be 23
 - 24 25
 - MR. SUMMERS: Let's go -- I think let's

- but you can address the question. 2
- 3 A. Yes, the City has a plan.
- BY MR. SUMMERS: 4
- 5 Q. And what is that plan?
- 6 A. The City intends to secure a debtor in possession
- financing of sufficient proceeds to fund the 7
- termination payment as well as provide sufficient cash R
- for the City to execute on its reinvestment program 9
- during the bankruptcy. 10
- 11 Q. And what is -- what actions, if any, has the City
- taken toward obtaining debtor in possession financing? 12
- 13 A. We have contacted a large universe of potentially
- interested investors, many of whom have signed 14 nondisclosure agreements, NDAs, pursuant to which they
- 15 have received the request for proposal, the RFP which 16
- went out yesterday. 17
- 18 Q. And is Miller Buckfire leading the effort to obtain debtor in possession financing? 19
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And when you say a large universe of potential
- investors, do you know approximately how many have
- been talked to? 23
- 24 A. At the moment it's in excess of 30.
- 25 Q. And how many have -- how many have signed

Page 73

- 1 go -- move through the questions and see how we do.
- MR. CULLEN: Okay.
- 3 MR. SUMMERS: I understand the City's
- 4 position on it.
- 5 MR. CULLEN: Okay.
 - BY MR. SUMMERS:
- 7 Q. You said an RFP went out yesterday?
- 8 A. Correct.

6

- 9 Q. Approximately how many people was the RPF sent to
- 10 yesterday?
- 11 A. The 30 plus people who signed the NDA.
- 12 Q. How much debtor-in-possession financing does the City
- 13 hope to obtain?
- 14 A. Three hundred fifty million dollars, up to three
- 15 hundred fifty million dollars.
- 16 Q. And does the City have a goal on the interest rate?
- 17 A. The lowest possible interest rate.
- 18 Q. Does the RFP attempt to define what that lowest
- 19 possible interest rate is?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Does it define whether the interest rate needs to be
- 22 fixed or variable?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. What covenants, if any, are included in the RFP as
- being acceptable or not acceptable?

Page 74

- 1 A. I'm not going to discuss that. It's commercially
- 2 sensitive.
- 3 Q. How long of maturity on the DIP financing is the City
- 4 looking to obtain?
- 5 A. Through the pendency of the end of the case.
- 6 Q. And is the City offering a lien on casino revenues in
- 7 connection with the DIP financing?
- 8 A. In part.
- 9 Q. I assume the City does not expect to obtain unsecured
- 10 financing?
- 11 A. I would take it if it was offered.
- 12 Q. No doubt. What other collateral is the City offering
- 13 to secure the DIP financing loan?
- 14 A. I'm not going to answer that question.
- 15 Q. Does the RFP define what collateral would be
- 16 available?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 Q. And that's been sent out to potential investors?
- 19 A. Who have signed nondisclosure agreements.
- 20 Q. If somebody new came and said I would be interested in
- 21 providing DIP financing, you would have them sign an
- NDA and then provide them the RFP?
- 23 A. If they wanted to make an unsolicited proposal without
- the benefit of the RPF, we would be happy to accept
 - 5 it. Are you suggesting your client is interested in

do. 1 is submitting a proposal?

- 2 Q. Is the City offering art work as collateral?
- 3 A. I'm not going to discuss the terms of the term sheet,
- 4 sorry.

7

11

12

13

14

19

2

5

6

- 5 Q. Well, we kind of picked and choose what terms in the
- 6 RFP we are discussing and not discussing.
 - MR. CULLEN: We have in the attempt to
- 8 accommodate your desire for information and to
- 9 maintain control of the integrity of this process
- which we believe is best negotiated as a negotiation
 - and not a litigation.
 - MR. SUMMERS: I guess I struggle with
 - understanding why the collateral that's offered in the RPF that's been sent out when we know the interest
- rate, the amount of the financing the debtor seeks,
- why that puts the City at a competitive disadvantage.
- MR. CULLEN: We didn't say the interest rate.
 - MR. SUMMERS: The lowest possible.
- MR. CULLEN: This is the beginning of a
- 21 negotiation. It's the beginning of a negotiation that
- isn't at an end yet, that hasn't had any response to
- the RFPs yet, it's an initial offer, and that's what
- 24 it is, and he's discussing it as such and willing to
- testify about it as such, but I'm not going to read

Page 76

- 1 the terms of the RFP in the newspaper and our bidders
 - are not going to read the terms of the RFP in the
- 3 newspaper because that would hamper the process and
- 4 hamper our ability to get best value.
 - MR. SUMMERS: But we already have in the
 - record that the casino revenues are part of the
- 7 collateral that's being offered, so, what's wrong with
- 8 finding out what the rest of the collateral that's
- 9 being offered?
- MR. CULLEN: Not going to argue with you,
- 11 Counsel. I'm telling you what the position is. I've
- tried to be accommodating. It's as far as I am going
- 13 to go.
- 14 BY MR. SUMMERS
- 15 Q. Has the City had discussions with the State of
- 16 Michigan about providing financing?
- 17 A. I'm not going to discuss that.
- 18 Q. What is the City's view about what has to happen in
- 19 order to be able to obtain debtor-in-possession
- 20 financing -- let me put a finer point.
- 21 Are there certain events that the City
- believes has to happen in the case for it to be able
- 23 to realistically obtain debtor-in-possession
- 24 financing?
- 25 A. Yes, there are events in the case.

rage 70